

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/977,405	10/16/2001	Takashi Hosotani	50340-110	3180
7590 09/08/2005		EXAMINER		
Robert L. Price McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY			JULES, FRANTZ F	
600 13th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3617	

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/977,405	HOSOTANI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Frantz F. Jules	3617			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07/1</u>	<i>1/</i> 2 <u>0</u> 05.				
•=		s action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is			
,_	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) 🗀	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15</u> is/are rejected.					
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen		_				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		atent Application (PTO-152)			
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/788/18/05</u> .	6) Other:				
.S. Patent and T	rademark Office					

Art Unit: 3617

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. Claim 15 is objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 15, line 2, the word "an" should be deleted to improve the clarity of the claim language.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kinohsita (JP 61-14881).

Kinoshita discloses an axle supporting structure for industrial vehicles comprising axle supporting wheels (X), a vehicle frame (4), and a pair of links (19) which connect the axle and the vehicle frame, each of the links having a respective end being directly and pivotably mounted to the axle at both ends and the links being disposed upwardly of the axle in a vertical direction and being disposed symmetrically about the center of the vehicle and inclined symmetrically with respect with respect to each other in a trapezoidal arrangement as shown in fig. 4-5 since the vehicle frame and axle constitute two parallel side thereby meeting the limitation of a trapezoid, in which an interval between connecting points of the links to the vehicle frame is narrower than an interval

Application/Control Number: 09/977,405 Page 3

Art Unit: 3617

between connecting points of the links to the axle, wherein the pair of links (19) incline differently according to the relative displacement of the axle and the vehicle frame in the left and right direction as steering of the vehicle will produce this effect, and the posture of the vehicle is thereby controlled.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ferguson (US 1,127,608) in view of Chan et al (US 6,357,768).

Ferguson discloses an axle supporting structure for industrial vehicles comprising an axle supporting wheels (X) a vehicle frame (4) and a pair of links (31) which connect both ends of the axle and the vehicle frame, the links being disposed upwardly of the axle in a vertical direction and being disposed symmetrically about the center of the vehicle and inclined symmetrically with respect with respect to each other in a trapezoidal arrangement since the vehicle frame (4) and the axle (3) are parallel sides, in which an interval between connecting points of the links to the vehicle frame is narrower than an interval between connecting points of the links to the axle, wherein the pair of links (31) incline differently according to the relative displacement of the axle and the vehicle frame in the left and right direction as steering of the vehicle will produce this effect, and the posture of the vehicle is thereby controlled.

Application/Control Number: 09/977,405

Art Unit: 3617

Ferguson discloses all of the features as listed above but does not disclose an axle supporting structure comprising links that are directly and pivotably mounted to the axle at both ends. The general concept of providing link members that are directly and pivotally connected to both end of an axle is well known in the art as illustrated by Chan et al which disclose the teaching of links that are directly and pivotably mounted to the axle at both ends. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Ferguson to include the use of links that are directly and pivotably mounted to the axle at both ends in his advantageous axle supporting structure as taught by Chan et al in order to improve on the damping characteristics of the suspension while reducing the number of parts in the assembly.

Page 4

## Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed 07/11/2005 have been fully considered but they are most in view of the new ground of rejection.
- Claims 1-14 stand withdrawn from further consideration.

Applicant's amendment of claim 15 to incorporate the limitation of "in which an interval between connecting points of the links to the vehicle frame is narrower than an interval between connecting points of the links to the axle" fails to distinguish over the Ferguson reference. Applicant's argument regarding the previous rejection of claim 15 over the Willingham and Cruz reference is valid and force the withdraw of the previous rejection of the claims over Willingham and Cruz. Moreover, the disclosure of an axle comprising links that are directly and pivotally mounted to an axle is well known in the art. The Chan et al reference establish a prima facie case of obviousness to one ordinary skill in

Art Unit: 3617

the art who would incorporate the teaching of mounting an axle link directly to an axle in order to achieve among others the benefit of reducing failure in the link assembly.

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frantz F. Jules whose telephone number is (703) 308-8780. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph S. Morano can be reached on (703) 308-0230. The fax phone

Art Unit: 3617

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Frantz F. Jules Primary Examiner Art Unit 3617

FFJ

February 7, 2005

FRANTZ F. JULES
PRIMARY EXAMINER